## **COMMITTEE AMENDMENT**

[STAFF WORKING DRAFT]

July 30, 2007

Purpose: To provide for a broader FCC inquiry into control technologies and methods of encouraging their development and use.

## IN THE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION—110TH Cong., 1st Sess.

S. 602, 110TH Congress, 1ST Session

August 2, 2007

INTENDED to be proposed by Mr. PRYOR

- Viz: Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the following:
  - 1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
  - 2 This Act may be cited as the "Child Safe Viewing
  - 3 Act of 2007".
  - 4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
  - 5 Congress finds the following:
  - 6 (1) Video programming has a direct impact on

a child's perception of safe and reasonable behavior.

- 8 (2) Children may imitate actions they witness
  9 on video programming, including language, drug
  10 use, and sexual conduct.
- (3) Studies suggest that the strong appeal ofvideo programming erodes the ability of parents to

7

develop responsible attitudes and behavior in their
 children.

3 (4) The average American child watches 44 hours of television each day.

5 (5) 99.9 percent of all consumer complaints
6 logged by the Federal Communications Commission
7 in the first quarter of 2006 regarding radio and tele8 vision broadcasting were because of obscenity, inde9 cency, and profanity.

10 (6) There is a compelling government interest
11 in empowering parents to limit their children's expo12 sure to harmful television content.

13 (7) Section 1 of the Communications Act of
14 1934 requires the Federal Communications Commis15 sion to promote the safety of life and property
16 through the use of wire and radio communications.

17 (8) In the Telecommunications Act of 1996,
18 Congress authorized Parental Choice in Television
19 Programming and the V-Chip. Congress further di20 rected action on alternative blocking technology as
21 new video technology advanced.

## 22 SEC. 3. EXAMINATION OF ADVANCED BLOCKING TECH-23 NOLOGIES.

(a) INQUIRY REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 daysafter the date of enactment of this Act, the Federal Com-

3

munications Commission shall initiate a notice of inquiry
 to consider measures to examine—

3 (1) the existence and availability of advanced
4 blocking technologies that are compatible with var5 ious communications devices or platforms; and

6 (2) methods of encouraging the development,
7 deployment, and use of such technology by parents
8 that do not affect the packaging or pricing of a con9 tent provider's offering.

10 (b) CONTENT OF PROCEEDING.—In conducting the
11 inquiry required under subsection (a), the Commission
12 shall consider advanced blocking technologies that—

(1) may be appropriate across a wide variety of
distribution platforms, including wired, wireless, and
Internet platforms;

16 (2) may be appropriate across a wide variety of
17 devices capable of transmitting or receiving video or
18 audio programming, including television sets, DVD
19 players, VCRs, cable set top boxes, satellite receiv20 ers, and wireless devices;

21 (3) can filter language based upon information22 in closed captioning;

(4) operate independently of ratings pre-assigned by the creator of such video or audio programming; and

4

(5) may be effective in enhancing the ability of
 a parent to protect his or her child from indecent or
 objectionable programming, as determined by such
 parent.

5 (c) REPORTING.—Not later than 270 days after the 6 enactment of this Act, the Commission shall issue a report 7 to Congress detailing any findings resulting from the in-8 quiry required under subsection (a).

9 (d) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "ad-10 vanced blocking technologies" means technologies that can 11 improve or enhance the ability of a parent to protect his 12 or her child from any indecent or objectionable video or 13 audio programming, as determined by such parent, that 14 is transmitted through the use of wire, wireless, or radio 15 communication.

 $\bigcirc$